



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/121,702	07/24/98	BECK	0 016906/0183

FOLEY & LARDNER
3000 K STREET NW SUITE 500
WASHINGTON DC 20007-5109

QM61/0702

EXAMINER	
FORD, J	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3743	7

DATE MAILED: 07/02/99

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

1. The communication filed April 19, 1998 is informal/non-responsive for the reason(s) checked below and should be corrected. **APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER OR UNTIL THE EXPIRATION OF THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE SET IN THE LAST OFFICE ACTION (WHICHEVER IS LONGER) WITHIN WHICH TO CORRECT THE INFORMALITY.**

- a. The amendment to claim(s) _____, filed _____, fails to comply with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.121 and is accordingly held to be non-responsive. A supplemental paper correcting the informal portions and complying with the rule is required.
- b. The paper is unsigned. A duplicate paper or ratification, properly signed, is required.
- c. The paper is signed by _____, who is not of record. A ratification or a new power of attorney with a ratification, or a duplicate paper signed by a person of record, is required.
- d. The communication is presented on paper which will not provide a permanent copy. A permanent copy, or a request that a permanent copy be made by the Office at applicant's expense, is required, see M.P.E.P. 714.07.

e. Other Applicants did not submit proposed corrections in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(a)(3)(ii) "Where a change to the drawing is desired, a

2. In accordance with applicant's request, THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE FROM THE OFFICE ACTION DATED _____ IS EXTENDED TO RUN _____ MONTH(S).

No further extension will be granted unless approved by the Commissioner. 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (b)

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119 which papers have been made of record in the file Primary Examiner

4. Other

sketch in permanent ink showing proposed changes in red, to become part of the record, must be filed for approval by the examiner --- (see MPEP 608.02(p)). On page 6 of Applicants response, in the 10th line of full text an "Exhibit A" is referred to. No "Exhibit A" denoted as such accompanied Applicants' response. Furthermore the changes in the overall cross-section of Figure 3, size and disposition of ducts 68, elimination of parts of the casting, pipes etc. appears to be blatantly new matter for which applicants have provided no reasonable explanation. One cannot supplement the original disclosure by amending the drawings beyond what they will originally support.